The Influence of the Problem-Based Learning Model Assisted by Google Sites Interactive Multimedia on Critical Thinking Skills in the Science Subject of Grade V Elementary School

Submitted:	Galih Yoga Pradana ¹ , Neni Mariana ² , Rif'at Shafwatul Anam ³
June 12, 2024	lifeyoga94@gmail.com ¹ , nenimariana@unesa.ac.id ² ,
Accepted:	rifat.official@ecampus.ut.ac.id ³
July 16, 2024 Published:	Universitas Terbuka (Postgraduate Program, Universitas Terbuka)
July 31, 2024	1,2,3

Abstract: Critical thinking skills are part of 21st century learning that are important to be applied in the learning process. However, these skills have not been fully mastered by students. Based on the results of observations conducted in one elementary school, students have difficulty working on analytical questions. This study aims to determine the effect of the Problem-Based Learning model assisted by interactive multimedia Google sites significantly on critical thinking skills in the subject of science for grade V of elementary school. This type of research is a quasi-experiment with a nonequivalent control group design. The population in this study were grade V students of SDN 3 Bangunsari Ponorogo totaling 82 students. The research sample was grade V students consisting of a control class and an experimental class, each totaling 30 students. Data analysis used an independent sample t-test. The results showed that there was a difference in the average results of the pretest and posttest of critical thinking skills in the experimental class and the control class. In the experimental class there was an increase of 19.56%. While in the control class it increased by 6.27%. The results of the hypothesis test using the independent sample t-test showed a significance value (2-tailed) of 0.034, which means <0.05, thus Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted. So there is a significant influence of the Problem-Based Learning model assisted by interactive multimedia Google sites on critical thinking skills in the subject of science for grade V of Elementary School. Learning with the Problem-Based Learning model assisted by interactive multimedia Google sites has been proven to be able to improve students' critical thinking skills.

Keywords: PBL, Critical Thinking, Google Sites

PRELIMINARY

21st-century learning skills consisting of collaboration, character, critical thinking, citizenship, creativity, and communication are very important to be applied in student learning activities. 21st-century skills are expected to equip students to improve their learning and innovation skills, utilization of information and technology media, and life and career skills (Mu'minah & Suryaningsih, 2020). However, 21st-century skills

have not been fully mastered, especially critical thinking skills. The results of TIMSS 2015 and PISA 2022 placed Indonesia at the bottom (Alam, 2023; Suparya et al., 2022). Based on these data, PISA concluded that Indonesian students' thinking skills are classified as very low but still have the opportunity to improve their critical thinking skills because their abilities and potential have not been developed (Lidiawati & Aurelia, 2023). Critical thinking skills are thinking skills needed to solve problems or issues that arise. Critical thinking skills are very important because critical thinkers are able to think logically, answer questions well, and make rational decisions about what to do and what to believe (Susilawati et al., 2020). Norrizqa (2021) explains that critical thinking is a process of searching, creating, evaluating, collecting, and conceptualizing information as a reference with awareness and the ability to be more creative in solving problems. Based on the results of observations made by teachers in grade V at SD Negeri 3 Bangunsari, Ponorogo Regency, students still have difficulty analyzing a given problem. Students tend to have difficulty when working on analytical questions. This is reinforced by the low learning outcomes of students in the Natural and Social Sciences (IPAS) subject of Indonesiaku Kaya Hayatinya material. Students have difficulty working on questions that require high-level thinking skills or Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS). Of the 30 students in the class, only 15 students scored above 75. Which means that only 50% of students achieved minimal completion. Therefore, there needs to be learning that can support the development of students' critical thinking skills.

Learning using a variety of models and methods can support the development of critical thinking skills. Teachers can develop learning using constructivism-based strategies and models, such as Problem-Based Learning (PBL) which allows the development of students' critical thinking and problem-solving skills in real-life contexts. The Problem Based Learning model allows students to participate in solving real-world problems, as well as allowing them to work together and develop their own skills (Wardani, 2023). This opinion is reinforced by Sukmawati et al. (2023) who emphasized that the use of the PBL model in grade IV Science subjects can improve students' problem-solving abilities. Science learning or currently known as IPAS has a complex study of science such as the study of the universe, and biological life, so there needs to be a stimulus for learning media that can help students understand these concepts (Serly et al., 2023). So that in the application of the PBL learning model, it is considered more effective and efficient if supported by the presence of digital-based interactive learning multimedia, especially by using the Google Sites platform. In this study, interactive multimedia Google Sites will be developed by integrating several platforms consisting of YouTube, educational game quizziz, and canva for education. Where these platforms will be adjusted to the needs of learning materials and characteristics of elementary school students.

The results of previous research conducted by Saputri (2020) showed that the application of the Problem-Based Learning model has been proven to improve the critical thinking skills of elementary school V students. Other studies show that learning with the problem-based learning (PBL) model using audio-visual media can improve students' critical thinking skills (Herlina et al., 2020). These findings are reinforced by Devi & Bayu (2020) who stated that the application of the Problem-Based Learning model assisted by visual media has an effect on critical thinking skills and science learning outcomes. Research related to the application of the Problem-Based Learning model has indeed been widely carried out. However, the use of digital-based interactive multimedia, especially Google Sites, has never been carried out as a supporter in problem-based learning activities to improve critical thinking skills.

Based on the description above, the researcher intends to conduct research activities using the Problem-Based Learning model assisted by interactive digital-based learning multimedia as a step to stimulate the development of students' critical thinking skills. The purpose of this study is to determine the effect of the Problem-Based Learning model assisted by interactive Google sites multimedia significantly on critical thinking skills in the subject of science for grade V Elementary Schools.

METHOD

This type of research is quantitative research with a quasi-experimental design design of the nonequivalent control group design model (Abraham & Supriyati, 2022). The nonequivalent control group design model has a design that is almost the same as the pre-test post-test control group but in this model the research subjects are not taken randomly (Murdani et al., 2024). In this design, the researcher will provide a pretest to determine the initial state of critical thinking in the control class and the experimental class. After the results of the pre-test are known, the researcher will provide treatment.

After giving the treatment, it will be continued by giving a post-test to the experimental class and the control class. The research design is described in Table 1 below.

	Table 1 Research Design							
Class	Pretes	Treatment	Postes					
Е	O_1	Х	O_2					
Κ	O_3		O_4					
Sumber: (Abraham & Supriyati, 2022)								

The research subjects in this study were 82 fifth grade students of SDN 3 Bangunsari, Ponorogo Regency, in the 2023/2024 academic year, divided into classes V-A, V-B, and V-C. Furthermore, the determination of the experimental class and the control class was carried out using a lottery technique. In determining the two classes as the experimental class and the control class, a homogeneity test was carried out on the two classes to see whether the two classes used as research samples had the same characteristics. The instruments used in this study were teaching modules and LKPD for treatment instruments, and evaluation sheets for critical thinking skills data collection instruments. The evaluation sheets were designed by adopting critical thinking indicators presented in Table 2 below.

Critical thinking skills	Critical thinking skills	Critical thinking skills Critical
Critical thinking indicators	Critical thinking indicators	thinking indicators Question
Question indicators	Question indicators	indicators
Elementary Clarification	Elementary Clarification	Elementary Clarification
(providing simple	(providing simple	(providing simple explanations)
explanations) Focusing	explanations) Focusing	Focusing questions Students are
questions Students are able to	questions Students are able	able to formulate several problems
formulate several problems in	to formulate several	in the form of questions
the form of questions	problems in the form of	
	questions	
Basic Support (building basic	Basic Support (building	Basic Support (building basic
skills) Observing and	basic skills) Observing and	skills) Observing and considering
considering observation	considering observation	observation results Students are
results Students are able to	results Students are able to	able to provide appropriate
provide appropriate reasons	provide appropriate reasons	reasons from observation
from observation activities on	from observation activities	activities on questions
questions	on questions	
Inference (making	Inference (making	Inference (making conclusions)
conclusions) Making	conclusions) Making	Making deductions and
deductions and considering	deductions and considering	considering deduction results
deduction results Students are	deduction results Students	

Table 2 Critical Thinking Instrument Grid

Critical thinking skills	Critical thinking skills	Critical thinking skills Critical
Critical thinking indicators	Critical thinking indicators	thinking indicators Ouestion
Question indicators	Question indicators	indicators
able to conclude the cause of	are able to conclude the	Students are able to conclude the
a problem	cause of a problem	cause of a problem
Advance clarification	Advance clarification	Advance clarification (providing
(providing further	(providing further	further explanations) Defining
explanations) Defining terms	explanations) Defining	terms and assessing definitions
and assessing definitions	terms and assessing	(determining examples and non-
(determining examples and	definitions (determining	examples) students are able to
non-examples) students are	examples and non-	distinguish the classification of an
able to distinguish the	examples) students are able	example of an event, and then
classification of an example of	to distinguish the	provide an appropriate
an event, and then provide an	classification of an example	explanation
appropriate explanation	of an event, and then	
	provide an appropriate	
	explanation	
Strategy and Tactics	Strategy and Tactics	Strategy and Tactics (arranging
(arranging strategies and	(arranging strategies and	strategies and tactics) Deciding on
tactics) Deciding on an action	tactics) Deciding on an	an action (formulating
(formulating alternatives)	action (formulating	alternatives) Students are able to
Students are able to provide	alternatives) Students are	provide the right solution to the
the right solution to the	able to provide the right	problems presented
problems presented	solution to the problems	
	presented	

Sources: Ennis (Costa, 1985) dan (Suciono, 2021)

The instruments that have been prepared are first tested for validity and reliability before being used for research activities. Validity tests consist of expert validation tests and question trials. Validation of the instrument by experts is intended to determine whether the instrument that has been developed has met the criteria in terms of material, construct, and language aspects. The results of validation by experts are analyzed using Aiken V (Oktariyanti et al., 2021). Knowing whether an instrument is valid or not, you can use the item validity criteria. The following are the expert validity criteria in Table 3.

Table 5 Expert	valuty Criteria
Hasil Validitas	Validity Criteria
$0,80 < V \le 1,00$	Very High
$0,60 < V \le 0,80$	High
$0,40 < V \le 0,60$	Fair
$0,20 < V \le 0,40$	Low
$0,00 < V \le 0,20$	Very Low

Table 3 Expert Validity Criteria

Source: (Pratiwi, 2014)

The results of the expert validity test related to the critical thinking test instrument are described in Table 4 below.

1 4510		• • • • • •			, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
Question	Per	nilai			$\mathbf{\nabla}$.		v	IZ . 4	
Items	Ι	II	S 1	S 2	∑s	n(c-1)		Ket	
Item-1	4	4	3	3	6	8	High	High	
Item-2	4	4	3	3	6	8	High	High	
Item-3	4	5	3	4	7	8	Very High	Very High	
Item-4	4	5	3	4	7	8	Very High	Very High	
Item-5	4	5	3	4	7	8	Very High	Very High	
Item-6	4	5	3	4	7	8	Very High	Very High	
Item-7	4	5	3	4	7	8	Very High	Very High	
Item-8	4	5	3	4	7	8	Very High	Very High	
Item-9	4	5	3	4	7	8	Very High	Very High	
Item-10	4	5	3	4	7	8	Very High	Very High	

Table 4 Results of Expert Validity Test of Critical Thinking Instruments

Source: expert validity data

Based on table 4 above, it can be seen that the critical thinking test instrument questions have high and very high validity values. So that the instrument can be continued in the test questions. The test questions were carried out outside the research subjects who had almost the same level of quality as the research subjects. The test questions used SPSS 25.0 for windows with a statistical test of Pearson Product Moment correlation with a significance level of 0.05. If the probability value Sig. > 0.05 then it is declared valid. The results of the test questions for critical thinking skills are described in Table 5 below.

		8	
No. Item Question	Rhitung	R _{tabel}	Information
1	0,719	0,308	Valid
2	0,722	0,308	Valid
3	0,582	0,308	Valid
4	0,366	0,308	Valid
5	0,666	0,308	Valid
6	0,689	0,308	Valid
7	0,698	0,308	Valid
8	0,509	0,308	Valid
9	0,624	0,308	Valid
10	0,644	0,308	Valid

Table 5 Results of Critical Thinking Question Validity Test

Source: test results Product Moment Pearson SPSS 25.0

Based on Table 5, it can be seen that the critical thinking question instrument items are valid because Rcount is greater than Rtable. So that critical thinking questions can be used as research instruments.

Reliability testing is used to determine the feasibility of the instrument in measuring what is measured and related to trust. Reliability testing is used to determine the level of consistency of a questionnaire that will be used by researchers (Isma et al., 2023). The reliability test in the study used SPSS 25.0 for Windows using Chronbach's Alpha. If the Chronbach's Alpha value> 0.60, then the research instrument is said to be reliable, while if the Chronbach's Alpha value <0.60, then the research instrument is said to be unreliable (Darmawan, 2014). The following presents the data from the reliability test using Chronbach's Alpha in Table 6.

Table 6 Results of the Reliability Test of Critical Thinking Skills Test Questions

Variabel	Chronbach's Alpha	Information				
Critical thinking skills	0.827	Reliabel				
Source: Cronbach	Source: Cronbach's Alpha test results SPSS 25.0					

Based on table 6 above, it is known that the Chronbach's Alpha value of critical thinking skills is 0.827 or greater than 0.60. So it can be concluded that the critical thinking skills instrument is reliable.

Test the prerequisites for data analysis using the normality test and homogeneity test. Normality test with the help of the SPSS program with a significance level of 0.05 with the Kolmogrov-Smirnov test technique. While the homogeneity test is carried out with the help of the SPSS program with the OnewayAnova test technique with a significance level of 0.05. Hypothesis testing using the Independent Sample T-test with the help of the SPSS 25.0 for Windows application.

RESULTS

The data obtained in this study were the results of critical thinking skills tests between the experimental class group using the Problem-Based Learning (PBL) model assisted by interactive multimedia Google sites and the control class group that was not given the model treatment. The questions given were descriptive or essay questions consisting of 10 questions that were in accordance with the indicators of critical thinking skills. Students' initial abilities in critical thinking skills can be measured through a pretest, while students' final abilities in critical thinking skills can be measured through a post-test. Critical thinking skills data from the pre-test and post-test results in both groups (experimental class and control class) can be seen in Table 7 below.

		Experimen	tal Class	Experimen	tal Class
No	Critical Thinking Indicators	Control Cla	ass	Control Class	
		Pretest	Posttest	Pretest	Posttest
1	Focusing questions	49.44%	83.89%	67.78%	75.56%
2	Observing and considering	66.67%	81.67%	64.17%	64.17%
	observation results				
3	Making deductions and considering	52.78%	71.11%	55.00%	62.22%
	deduction results				
4	Defining terms and evaluating	75.83%	85.83%	75.00%	79.17%
	definitions				
5	Deciding on a course of action	52.78%	72.78%	53.89%	66.11%
Avara	ge (mean)	59.50%	79.06%	63.17%	69.44%

Table 7 Mastery of Critical Thinking Skills Indicators

Source: results of critical thinking skills test

Based on Table 7, overall there are differences in the results of critical thinking skills before and after the implementation of learning in the experimental class and control class. During the pre-test, it can be seen that in the experimental class the lowest achievement was in the indicator of focusing questions, which was 49.44% and the highest achievement was in the indicator of defining terms and assessing definitions of 75.83%. While the lowest score in the control class was in the indicator of deciding on an action with an achievement of 53.89% and the indicator with the highest achievement was in defining terms and assessing definitions of 75.00%.

The percentage during the post-test in the experimental class showed that the indicator with the lowest achievement was in the indicator of making deductions and considering deduction results with an achievement of 71.11% and the highest indicator was in the indicator of defining terms and assessing definitions of 85.83%. While in the control class the indicator with the lowest achievement was in the indicator of making deductions and considering deduction results with an achievement was in the indicator of making deductions and considering deduction results with an achievement of 62.22% and the highest indicator was in the indicator of defining terms and assessing definitions of 79.17%. The indicator with the greatest progress in the experimental class is the indicator of focusing questions, which is with progress of 34.45%. The average percentage of the experimental group at the beginning of the test was 59.50%, increasing to 79.06%. Meanwhile, the control class group changed from 63.17% to 69.44%. There was a significant increase in the experimental class.

Before conducting the hypothesis test using the Independent Sample T-test, a prerequisite test for data analysis was first conducted, including the normality test and the homogeneity test. The normality test and homogeneity test were conducted on the results of critical thinking of students in the experimental and control classes during the pre-test and post-test. A recapitulation of the results of the normality and homogeneity tests are presented in Tables 8 and 9.

Group		Sig	Criteria	Conclusion
Experiment	Pretest	0,200	sig > 0,05	Normal
	Posttest	0,200	sig > 0,05	Normal
Control	Pretest	0,076	sig > 0,05	Normal
Control	Posttest	0,108	sig > 0.05	Normal

Table 8 Normality Test of Critical Thinking Skills Data

Table 9 Critical Thinking Data Homogeneity Test							
Variabel	Levene Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.			
Critical Thinking Skills	.647	3	116	.586			

Based on Table 8, it can be seen that the data of critical thinking skills test results in the experimental class and control class are normally distributed. The results of the homogeneity test in Table 9 also show a significance level > 0.05, so the data is homogeneous. The results of the hypothesis test with the critical thinking ability variable using the Independent Sample T-test are presented in Table 10 below.

		Lev Tes Equa Vari	rene's st for llity of ances	s t-test for Equality of Means r of es g. t df Sig. (2- Mean Std. Error 95% Confidence						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Differenc e	Std. Error Difference	95% Con Interva Diffe	nfidence l of the rence
									Lower	Upper
Critical Thinking Values	Equal variances assumed	.379	.541	2.172	58	.034	9.23067	4.24935	.72466	17.736 67
	Equal variances not assumed			2.172	56.583	.034	9.23067	4.24935	.72013	17.741 21

Table 10 Results of Critical Thinking Skills Hypothesis Test

Source: Independent Sample T-test SPSS 25.0 results

The data in Table 10 above can be used to answer the hypothesis and problem formulation. Based on the results of the analysis in Table 10, it is known that the

significance value (2-tailed) of the t-test is 0.034 which means <0.05. It can be concluded that H0 is rejected and H1 is accepted which means that there is a significant difference in critical thinking skills between the experimental class group and the control class group in the content of the fifth grade elementary school science.

DISCUSSION

Based on the results of the study on the indicators of critical thinking skills, there was an increase in each indicator, especially in the experimental class. The difference in the comparison of the pretest and posttest scores in critical thinking skills from the experimental class and the control class can be seen in Figure 1.





Based on Figure 1, the achievement of critical thinking skill indicators in the experimental class group experienced a significant increase between the pretest and posttest results. The increase in critical thinking skills in the experimental class group was higher when compared to the control class. Based on Figure 1, there was an increase in all indicators of critical thinking skills in the experimental class. The pretest value of the experimental class on the indicator focusing on questions was 49.44%, on the posttest results it increased by 34.45% to 83.89%. The indicator observing and considering the results of observations of pretest values was 66.67%, on the posttest results it increased by 15.00% to 81.67%. The indicator making deductions and considering the results of deductions of pretest values was 52.78%, on the posttest results it increased by 18.33%

to 71.11%. The indicator defining terms and assessing the definition of pretest values was 75.83%, on the posttest results it increased by 10.00% to 85.83%. The indicator decides an action, the pretest value is 52.78%, the posttest results increase by 20.00% to 72.78%. The findings of the study above are in line with the findings of Mardiyanti (2020) who explained that there was a significant increase in all critical thinking indicators through learning using the Problem-Based Learning model. This further strengthens Febrita's opinion (2020) who stated that the PBL model provides learning that challenges students to work in groups to solve various problems so that they can improve critical and analytical skills.

Based on Figure 1, there are indicators that consistently get the highest scores. These indicators are defining terms and assessing definitions. Both from the experimental class and the control class, the pretest and posttest scores on the defining terms and assessing definitions indicators always get the highest scores compared to other indicators. These findings are similar to research conducted by (Herunata & Widarti, 2020) who explained that in their research the indicator with the highest achievement was the defining terms and assessing definitions indicator of 89.47%. There is a difference in achievement scores in the experimental group and the control class group in the pretest and posttest scores. A comparison of the difference in pretest and posttest scores from the two groups can be seen in Figure 2 Comparison of Gain Scores for critical thinking skills below.



Figure 2 Comparison of Critical Thinking Skills Gain Score

Based on Figure 2, it can be seen that the experimental class and control class have varying Gain Scores. The Gain Score on the indicator focuses on questions of 62 for the experimental class and 14 for the control class. The Gain Score on the indicator observes and considers the results of observations of 18 for the experiment and 0 for the control class. The Gain Score on the indicator makes deductions and considers the results of deductions of 33 for the experimental class and 13 for the control class. The Gain Score on the indicator defines terms and assesses definitions of 12 for the experimental class and 5 for the control class. The Gain Score on the indicator decides on an action of 36 for the experimental class and 22 for the control class. Based on these results, there was also an increase in critical thinking skills in the control class, although it did not increase significantly. Of all the existing indicators, the experimental class has a higher Gain Score compared to the control class. The results of the study above are in line with the findings of (Rachmawati & Rosy, 2020) which explain that the Gain Score value obtained by the experimental class is higher than the control class. These results are reinforced by Fitriyah & Ghofur (2021) in their research explaining that the Gain Score for critical thinking in the control class is included in the low criteria while in the experimental class it is included in the medium criteria.

The use of interactive multimedia Google Sites can help students to understand the material better. Students are more motivated during the learning process. This can be seen from the enthusiasm of students during the learning process. In line with this, (Karo-Karo & Rohani, 2018) stated that learning media has the function of helping teachers concretize concepts, providing learning motivation to students, and being a stimulus for the development of students' critical thinking. The selection of the Problem-Based Learning model is also considered very appropriate for science material related to the problem of biodiversity conservation. Where in this material the existing problems are very relevant to current conditions. This is a topic that is quite interesting for students to analyze the problem and find solutions that can be done to the problem. In line with this, the results of research conducted by Noviati (2023) explain that the application of the PBL learning model can improve student learning outcomes in science subjects with a completion rate of 92%.

CONCLUSION

Based on the presentation of the research results that have been analyzed and processed, it can be concluded that the application of the Problem-Based Learning model assisted by interactive learning multimedia Google Sites has a significant effect on critical thinking skills in the subject of science for grade V of Elementary School. This finding is supported by the results of the average percentage of the experimental group at the beginning of the test which was 59.50% increasing to 79.06%. While the control class group changed from 63.17% to 69.44%. There was a significant increase in the experimental class.

The results of the hypothesis test with the critical thinking ability variable using the Independent Sample T-test showed a significance value (2-tailed) of 0.034 which means <0.05. It can be concluded that H0 is rejected and Ha is accepted which means that there is a significant difference in critical thinking skills between the experimental class group and the control class group in the content of science for grade V of Elementary School. The use of interactive learning multimedia Google Sites will be more effective if the ratio of the number of laptops/chromebooks used is greater. In addition, to increase student motivation in learning, educational games contained in interactive multimedia can be developed to be more varied in terms of the number of questions and the form of the questions.

REFERENCES

- Abraham, I., & Supriyati, Y. (2022). Desain Kuasi Eksperimen Dalam Pendidikan: Literatur Review. Jurnal Ilmiah Mandala Education, 8(3). <u>https://doi.org/10.58258/jime.v8i3.3800</u>
- Alam, S. (2023). Hasil PISA 2022, Refleksi Mutu Pendidikan Nasional 2023. Mediaindonesia.Com. <u>https://mediaindonesia.com/opini/638003/hasil-pisa-2022-refleksi-mutu-pendidikan-nasional-2023</u>
- Costa, A. L. (1985). *Developing minds : a resource book for teaching thinking*.
- Darmawan, D. (2014). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif. Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Devi, P. S., & Bayu, G. W. (2020). Berpikir Kritis dan Hasil Belajar IPA Melalui Pembelajaran Problem Based Learning Berbantuan Media Visual. *Mimbar PGSD* Undiksha, 8(2), 238–252.
- Febrita, I. (2020). Model Problem Based Learning dalam Pembelajaran Tematik Terpadu terhadap Berfikir Kritis Siswa di Kelas IV SD. Jurnal Pendidikan Tambusai, 4(2), 1619–1633.

- Fitriyah, I. M. N., & Ghofur, M. A. (2021). Pengembangan E-LKPD Berbasis Android Dengan Model Pembelajaran Problem Based Learning (PBL) Untuk Meningkatkan Berpikir Kritis Peserta Didik. Edukatif: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan, 3(5), 1957–1970. https://doi.org/10.31004/edukatif.v3i5.718
- Herlina, M., Syahfitri, J., & Ilista, I. (2020). Perbedaan kemampuan berpikir kritis dan hasil belajar kognitif dengan model pembelajaran problem based learning berbantuan media audio visual. Edubiotik: Jurnal Pendidikan, Biologi Dan Terapan, 5(01). https://doi.org/10.33503/ebio.v5i01.666
- Herunata, H., & Widarti, H. R. (2020). Analisis keterampilan berpikir kritis pada indikator memberikan penjelasan lebih lanjut materi hidrokarbon. Jurnal Pembelajaran Kimia OJS, 5(1), 47–58.
- Isma, S., Sobari, T., & Yuliani, W. (2023). Uji validitas dan reliabilitas angket kemandirian belajar. Fokus (Kajian Bimbingan & Konseling Dalam Pendidikan), 6(6), 509–516.
- Karo-Karo, I. R., & Rohani, R. (2018). Manfaat Media Dalam Pembelajaran. AXIOM: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika, 7(1). Dan https://doi.org/10.30821/axiom.v7i1.1778
- Lidiawati, K. R., & Aurelia, T. (2023). Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Siswa di Indonesia: Rendah atau Tinggi? Buletin KPIN, 9(2).
- Mardiyanti, H. S. (2020). Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Problem Based Learning Untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Siswa Kelas X MIPA-2. Journal of Classroom Action Research, 2(1), 1–8.
- Mu'minah, I. H., & Survaningsih, Y.-. (2020). Implementasi Steam (Science, Technology, Engineering, Art And Mathematics) Dalam Pembelajaran Abad 21. Bio Educatio: (The Journal of Science and Biology Education), 5(1). https://doi.org/10.31949/be.v5i1.2105
- Murdani, E., Kusuma, D., & Sulistri, E. (2024). Pengaruh Model Problem Based Learning (PBL) Berbantuan Media Monopoli terhadap Pemahaman Konsep pada Siswa Kelas III SDN 3 Singkawang. Pendas: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Dasar, 9(2), 6735-6748.
- Norrizqa, H. (2021). Berpikir kritis dalam pembelajaran ipa. Seminar Nasional Pendidikan IPA.
- Noviati, Wiwi. (2023). Penerapan model pembelajaran Problem Based Learning (PBL) dalam meningkatkan hasil belajar IPA di SD. Jurnal Kependidikan, 7(2), 19–27.
- Oktariyanti, D., Frima, A., & Febriandi, R. (2021). Pengembangan Media Pembelajaran Online Berbasis Game Edukasi Wordwall Tema Indahnya Kebersamaan pada 4093-4100. Siswa Sekolah Dasar. Jurnal Basicedu, 5(5), https://doi.org/10.31004/basicedu.v5i5.1490
- Pratiwi, P. A. D. (2014). Penerapan levels of inquiry untuk Meningkatkan Achievement siswa SMP pada Pokok Bahasan Optik [Doctoral dissertation]. Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.

- Rachmawati, N. Y., & Rosy, B. (2020). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Problem Based Learning (PBL) terhadap Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis dan Pemecahan Masalah pada Mata Pelajaran Administrasi Umum Kelas X OTKP di SMK Negeri 10 Surabaya. Jurnal Pendidikan Administrasi Perkantoran (JPAP), 9(2), 246–259. https://doi.org/10.26740/jpap.v9n2.p246-259
- Saputri, M. A. (2020). Penerapan model pembelajaran problem based learning untuk meningkatkan kemampuan berfikir kritis siswa kelas V sekolah dasar. Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Konseling (JPDK), 2(1), 92–98.
- Serly, S., Rusli, R., & Anam, R. S. (2023). The Effectiveness of Powerpoint Multimedia in Learning Motivation and Cognitive Science Learning Outcomes of Elementary School Students. *EduLine: Journal of Education and Learning Innovation*, 3(1), 76–83. <u>https://doi.org/10.35877/454RI.eduline1501</u>
- Suciono, W. (2021). Berpikir Kritis (Tinjauan Melalui Kemandirian Belajar, Kemampuan Akademik dan Efikasi Diri) (Kodri, Ed.). CV. Adanu Abimata.
- Sukmawati, S. F., Mastur, Z., & Anam, R. S. (2023). Model Problem Based Learning Bernuansa Jelajah Alam Sekitar Terhadap Peningkatan Sikap Peduli Lingkungan dan Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah IPAS Siswa Sekolah Dasar. Dwija Cendekia: Jurnal Riset Pedagogik, 7(2). <u>https://doi.org/10.20961/jdc.v7i2.74806</u>
- Suparya, I. K., I Wayan Suastra, & Putu Arnyana, I. B. (2022). Rendahnya Literasi Sains: Faktor Penyebab Dan Alternatif Solusinya. Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Citra Bakti, 9(1), 153–166. <u>https://doi.org/10.38048/jipcb.v9i1.580</u>
- Susilawati, E., Agustinasari, A., Samsudin, A., & Siahaan, P. (2020). Analisis Tingkat Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis Siswa SMA. Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Dan Teknologi, 6(1), 11–16. <u>https://doi.org/10.29303/jpft.v6i1.1453</u>
- Wardani, D. A. Wisnu. (2023). Problem based learning: membuka peluang kolaborasi dan pengembangan skill siswa. *Jawa Dwipa*, 4(1), 1–17.